

Monads and Continuations, Part 2

Kenneth Lai

Brandeis University

November 2, 2022

Monads and Continuations, Part 2

Kenneth Lai

Brandeis University

November 2, 2022



A burrito

Monads and Continuations, Part 2

Kenneth Lai

Brandeis University

November 2, 2022



Source

Announcements

- ▶ Please continue to fill out the Mid-course Feedback!
- ▶ By 11:59pm today
 - ▶ HW3 due
- ▶ For 11/9
 - ▶ Final Project Idea due
- ▶ For 11/16
 - ▶ HW4 due

Today's Plan

- ▶ Final Project Idea: VP Ellipsis as Anaphora
- ▶ Monads
- ▶ Continuations in Language

Today's Plan

- ▶ Final Project Idea: VP Ellipsis as Anaphora
- ▶ Monads
- ▶ Continuations in Language
- ▶ (we'll see how far we get...)

VP Ellipsis as Anaphora

- ▶ “Bill laughed. Mary did too.”
- ▶ Johnson: “VP ellipsis is the name given to instances of anaphora in which a missing predicate...is able to find an antecedent in the surrounding discourse”
- ▶ Bill PAST [VP laugh]. Mary did [VP \emptyset] too.

VP Ellipsis as Anaphora

- ▶ Provide an interpretation of VP ellipsis in the model, and determine if it is satisfied
 - ▶ Can be very similar to pronoun anaphora; see HW4 for details

VP Ellipsis as Anaphora

- ▶ Some things to think about, if you can
 - ▶ Unsaturated predicates
 - ▶ “Bill raised his hand. Mary did too.”
 - ▶ $\text{Bill}_i \text{ PAST } [\text{VP raise } i\text{'s hand}]. \text{ Mary}_i \text{ did } [\text{VP } \emptyset] \text{ too.}$
 - ▶ (not $\# \text{Bill PAST } [\text{VP raise Bill's hand}]. \text{ Mary did } [\text{VP } \emptyset] \text{ too.}$)

VP Ellipsis as Anaphora

- ▶ Some things to look at
 - ▶ Ronnie Cann, Ruth Kempson, and Eleni Gregoromichelaki (2009), *Semantics: An Introduction to Meaning in Language*, Chapter 7
 - ▶ Kyle Johnson (2001), “What VP Ellipsis Can Do, and What it Can’t, But Not Why”, In *The Handbook of Contemporary Syntactic Theory*, Mark Baltin and Chris Collins (eds.)

Monads

```
class (Applicative M) => Monad M where  
  return :: a -> M a
```

```
(>>=) :: M a -> (a -> M b) -> M b
```

```
(>>) :: M a -> M b -> M b
```

```
x >> y = x >>= \_ -> y
```

```
fail :: String -> M a
```

```
fail msg = error msg
```

Monads

```
class (Applicative M) => Monad M where  
  return :: a -> M a
```

```
(>>=) :: M a -> (a -> M b) -> M b
```

```
(>>) :: M a -> M b -> M b  
x >> y = x >>= \_ -> y
```

```
fail :: String -> M a  
fail msg = error msg
```

- ▶ return is just like pure for applicative functors

Monads

- ▶ To understand $(>>=)$ (pronounced **bind**), it may help to think in terms of its flipped version, $(=<<)$

$(>>=) :: M a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow M b) \rightarrow M b$

$(=<<) = \text{flip } (>>=)$

Monads

- ▶ To understand $(>>=)$ (pronounced **bind**), it may help to think in terms of its flipped version, $(=<<)$

$(>>=) :: M\ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow M\ b) \rightarrow M\ b$

$(=<<) = \text{flip } (>>=)$

- ▶ Let us compare $(=<<)$ with some other functions

$(=<<) :: (a \rightarrow M\ b) \rightarrow M\ a \rightarrow M\ b$

$(<*>) :: F\ (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow F\ a \rightarrow F\ b$

$\text{fmap} :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow F\ a \rightarrow F\ b$

Monads

- ▶ To understand $(>>=)$ (pronounced **bind**), it may help to think in terms of its flipped version, $(=<<)$

$(>>=) :: M a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow M b) \rightarrow M b$

$(=<<) = \text{flip } (>>=)$

- ▶ Let us compare $(=<<)$ with some other functions

$(=<<) :: (a \rightarrow M b) \rightarrow M a \rightarrow M b$

$(<*>) :: F (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow F a \rightarrow F b$

$\text{fmap} :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow F a \rightarrow F b$

- ▶ $(=<<)$ (and $(>>=)$) are maps for **monadic functions**
 - ▶ Functions that create their own boxes

Monads

- ▶ To understand $(>>=)$ (pronounced **bind**), it may help to think in terms of its flipped version, $(=<<)$

$(>>=) :: M\ a \rightarrow (a \rightarrow M\ b) \rightarrow M\ b$

$(=<<) = \text{flip } (>>=)$

- ▶ Let us compare $(=<<)$ with some other functions

$(=<<) :: (a \rightarrow M\ b) \rightarrow M\ a \rightarrow M\ b$

$(<*>) :: F\ (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow F\ a \rightarrow F\ b$

$\text{fmap} :: (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow F\ a \rightarrow F\ b$

- ▶ $(=<<)$ (and $(>>=)$) are maps for **monadic functions**
 - ▶ Functions that create their own **context**

Monads

- ▶ To understand ($>>=$), it may also help to think in terms of `join`

```
join :: (Monad M) => M (M a) -> M a
```

- ▶ If you have two nested boxes, `join`, well, “joins” them together

Monads

$g \gg= f = \text{join } (\text{fmap } f \ g) :: M \ a \ \rightarrow \ (a \ \rightarrow \ M \ b) \ \rightarrow \ M \ b$

- ▶ $f :: a \rightarrow M \ b$ is a monadic function
- ▶ $\text{fmap } f$ lifts it to type $M \ a \rightarrow M \ (M \ b)$
- ▶ $g :: M \ a$ is a value of type a in a box
- ▶ $\text{fmap } f \ g :: M \ (M \ b)$ outputs a value of type b in two nested boxes
- ▶ $\text{join } (\text{fmap } f \ g)$ extracts a monadic value of type $M \ b$ from the outermost box

Monads

$g \gg= f = \text{join } (\text{fmap } f \ g) :: M \ a \ \rightarrow \ (a \ \rightarrow \ M \ b) \ \rightarrow \ M \ b$

- ▶ $f :: a \rightarrow M \ b$ is a monadic function
- ▶ $\text{fmap } f$ lifts it to type $M \ a \rightarrow M \ (M \ b)$
- ▶ $g :: M \ a$ is a value of type a in a box
- ▶ $\text{fmap } f \ g :: M \ (M \ b)$ outputs a value of type b in two nested boxes
- ▶ $\text{join } (\text{fmap } f \ g)$ extracts a monadic value of type $M \ b$ from the outermost box
- ▶ $g \gg= f$ extracts a value of type a from g and feeds it to f to get a monadic value of type $M \ b$

Monads

- ▶ Examples of monadic functions
 - ▶ `putStrLn :: String -> IO ()`
 - ▶ `readFile :: FilePath -> IO String`

Monads

- ▶ Examples of monadic functions
 - ▶ `putStrLn :: String -> IO ()`
 - ▶ `readFile :: FilePath -> IO String`
- ▶ `getLine >>= putStrLn` extracts a `String` from `getLine` and feeds it to `putStrLn`
- ▶ `getLine >>= readFile` extracts a `FilePath` (i.e., `String`) from `getLine` and feeds it to `readFile`, which reads the file and puts its contents in a box

Monads

```
class (Applicative M) => Monad M where  
  return :: a -> M a
```

```
(>>=) :: M a -> (a -> M b) -> M b
```

```
(>>) :: M a -> M b -> M b
```

```
x >> y = x >>= \_ -> y
```

```
fail :: String -> M a
```

```
fail msg = error msg
```

- ▶ (>>) is shorthand for when we don't need to bind the value inside `x` to evaluate `y`

Monads

```
class (Applicative M) => Monad M where  
  return :: a -> M a
```

```
(>>=) :: M a -> (a -> M b) -> M b
```

```
(>>) :: M a -> M b -> M b
```

```
x >> y = x >>= \_ -> y
```

```
fail :: String -> M a
```

```
fail msg = error msg
```

- ▶ (>>) is shorthand for when we don't need to bind the value inside `x` to evaluate `y`
- ▶ `fail` is an error handler for pattern matching in `do` expressions

do notation

```
do {f}           = f
do {g; f}        = g >> do {f}
do {x <- g; f}   = g >>= \ x -> do {f}
```


do notation

```
action = getLine >>= putStrLn  
       = getLine >>= \ x -> putStrLn x  
       = getLine >>= \ x -> do {putStrLn x}
```

do notation

```
action = getLine >>= putStrLn  
        = getLine >>= \ x -> putStrLn x  
        = getLine >>= \ x -> do {putStrLn x}
```

```
action = do  
  x <- getLine  
  putStrLn x
```

Monads

- ▶ Lists are monads

```
instance Monad [] where
  return x = [x]
  xs >>= f = concat (map f xs)
  fail _ = []
```

Monads

- ▶ Lists are monads

```
instance Monad [] where
  return x = [x]
  xs >>= f = concat (map f xs)
  fail _ = []
```

- ▶ `return` makes a singleton list
- ▶ `fail` makes the empty list
- ▶ What about (`>>=`)?

Monads

```
[1,2,3,4] == [0,2] >>= \ a ->  
            [1,2] >>= \ b ->  
            return (a + b)
```

Monads

```
[1,2,3,4] == [0,2] >>= \ a ->  
            [1,2] >>= \ b ->  
            return (a + b)  
  
== [0,2] >>= \ a ->  
   [1,2] >>= \ b ->  
   [a + b]  
  
== [0,2] >>= \ a ->  
   concat (map (\ b -> [a + b]) [1,2])  
  
== [0,2] >>= \ a ->  
   concat [[a+1], [a+2]]  
  
== [0,2] >>= \ a ->  
   [a+1, a+2]
```

Monads

```
[1,2,3,4] == [0,2] >>= \ a -> [a+1, a+2]
           == concat (map (\ a -> [a+1, a+2]) [0,2])
           == concat [[0+1, 0+2], [2+1, 2+2]]
           == [1,2,3,4]
```

Monads

```
[1,2,3,4] == [0,2] >>= \ a ->  
            [1,2] >>= \ b ->  
            return (a + b)
```


Monads

```
[1,2,3,4] == [0,2] >>= \ a ->  
            [1,2] >>= \ b ->  
            return (a + b)  
  
== do  
    a <- [0,2]  
    b <- [1,2]  
    return (a + b)
```

Monads

```
[1,2,3,4] == [0,2] >>= \ a ->  
            [1,2] >>= \ b ->  
            return (a + b)
```

```
== do  
  a <- [0,2]  
  b <- [1,2]  
  return (a + b)
```

```
== [a + b |  
    a <- [0,2]  
    b <- [1,2]]
```

- ▶ List comprehensions are syntactic sugar for monadic computations!

Monads

- ▶ Monad laws:
- ▶ Left Identity: `return x >>= f = f x`
- ▶ Right Identity: `m >>= return = m`
- ▶ Associativity: `(m >>= f) >>= g = m >>= (\x -> f x >>= g)`

Monads

- ▶ Functors are boxes
 - ▶ That implement maps that lift normal functions (of type $a \rightarrow b$) to functions over boxes (of type $F\ a \rightarrow F\ b$)
- ▶ Applicative functors are boxes that support function application
 - ▶ If you have a function in a box ($F\ (a \rightarrow b)$), you can apply it to a box ($F\ a$) to get another box ($F\ b$)

Monads

- ▶ Functors are boxes
 - ▶ That implement maps that lift normal functions (of type $a \rightarrow b$) to functions over boxes (of type $F\ a \rightarrow F\ b$)
- ▶ Applicative functors are boxes that support function application
 - ▶ If you have a function in a box ($F\ (a \rightarrow b)$), you can apply it to a box ($F\ a$) to get another box ($F\ b$)
- ▶ Monads are boxes that support functions that **create their own boxes**
 - ▶ If you have a monadic function ($a \rightarrow M\ b$), you can apply it to a value (a) in a box ($M\ a$) to get another box ($M\ b$)

Monads

- ▶ Functors represent **context**
 - ▶ That implement maps that lift normal functions (of type $a \rightarrow b$) to functions over **context** (of type $F\ a \rightarrow F\ b$)
- ▶ Applicative functors represent **contexts** that support function application
 - ▶ If you have a function in a **context** ($F\ (a \rightarrow b)$), you can apply it to an object in **context** ($F\ a$) to get another object in **context** ($F\ b$)
- ▶ Monads represent **contexts** that support functions that create their own **contexts**
 - ▶ If you have a monadic function ($a \rightarrow M\ b$), you can apply it to a value (a) in a **context** ($M\ a$) to get another **context** ($M\ b$)

Monads

- ▶ Functors represent context
 - ▶ That implement maps that lift normal functions (of type $a \rightarrow b$) to functions over context (of type $F\ a \rightarrow F\ b$)
- ▶ Applicative functors represent contexts that support function application
 - ▶ If you have a function in a context ($F\ (a \rightarrow b)$), you can apply it to an object in context ($F\ a$) to get another object in context ($F\ b$)
- ▶ Monads represent contexts that can be **joined** together
 - ▶ If you have a context in another context ($M\ (M\ a)$), you can **join** the two contexts into one ($M\ a$)

Continuations in Language

- ▶ First, let us define some type synonyms
 - ▶ Note that type `Comp a r = (a -> r) -> r`

```
type Cont a r = a -> r
```

```
type Comp a r = Cont a r -> r
```


Continuations in Language

- ▶ What are these functions?

```
cpsConst :: a -> Comp a r  
cpsConst c = \ k -> k c
```

```
cpsApply :: Comp (a -> b) r -> Comp a r -> Comp b r  
cpsApply m n = \ k -> n (\ b -> m (\ a -> k (a b)))
```

Continuations in Language

- ▶ What are these functions?

```
cpsConst :: a -> Comp a r  
cpsConst c = \ k -> k c
```

```
cpsApply :: Comp (a -> b) r -> Comp a r -> Comp b r  
cpsApply m n = \ k -> n (\ b -> m (\ a -> k (a b)))
```

- ▶ Let $(\text{Comp } _ r)$ be an applicative functor
 - ▶ $\text{cpsConst} = \text{pure}$
 - ▶ $\text{cpsApply} = (<*>)$

Continuations in Language

- ▶ We use `cpsConst` to lift values to computations

```
intNP_CPS :: NP -> Comp Entity Bool
```

```
intNP_CPS SnowWhite = cpsConst snowWhite
```

```
intVP_CPS :: VP -> Comp (Entity -> Bool) Bool
```

```
intVP_CPS Laughed    = cpsConst laugh
```

```
intTV_CPS :: TV -> Comp (Entity -> Entity -> Bool) Bool
```

```
intTV_CPS Loved      = cpsConst love
```

```
intCN_CPS :: CN -> Comp (Entity -> Bool) Bool
```

```
intCN_CPS Girl       = cpsConst girl
```

Continuations in Language

- ▶ We use `cpsApply` to do function application within computations

```
intSent_CPS :: Sent -> Comp Bool Bool
```

```
intSent_CPS (Sent np vp) =
```

```
  cpsApply (intVP_CPS vp) (intNP_CPS np)
```

```
intVP_CPS (VP1 tv np) =
```

```
  cpsApply (intTV_CPS tv) (intNP_CPS np)
```

Continuations in Language

- ▶ We use `cpsApply` to do function application within computations

```
intSent_CPS :: Sent -> Comp Bool Bool
intSent_CPS (Sent np vp) =
  cpsApply (intVP_CPS vp) (intNP_CPS np)

intVP_CPS (VP1 tv np) =
  cpsApply (intTV_CPS tv) (intNP_CPS np)
```

- ▶ So far, so good!
 - ▶ No monads yet, though...

Continuations in Language

- ▶ van Eijck and Unger define special continuized determiner interpretations

```
intDET_CPS :: DET -> (Comp (Entity -> Bool) Bool)
                -> (Comp Entity Bool)
intDET_CPS Some  = \ k p -> k (\ q ->
                        any p (filter q entities))
intDET_CPS Every = \ k p -> k (\ q ->
                        all p (filter q entities))
intDET_CPS No    = \ k p -> k (\ q ->
                        not (any p (filter q entities)))
intDET_CPS The   = \ k p -> k (\ q ->
                        singleton (filter q entities)
                        && p (head (filter q entities)))
where
    singleton [x] = True
    singleton _  = False
```

Continuations in Language

- ▶ We don't need them, though!
 - ▶ We will use our determiner interpretations from before

```
intDET :: DET ->  
        (Entity -> Bool) -> (Entity -> Bool) -> Bool
```

```
intDET Some p q = any q (filter p entities)
```

```
intDET Every p q = all q (filter p entities)
```

```
intDET The p q = singleton plist && q (head plist)
```

```
  where
```

```
    plist = filter p entities
```

```
    singleton [x] = True
```

```
    singleton _ = False
```

```
intDET No p q = not (intDET Some p q)
```

Continuations in Language

- ▶ Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Entity} \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow (\text{Entity} \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow \text{Bool} = \\ & (\text{Entity} \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow \text{Comp Entity Bool} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Determiner interpretations are monadic functions!

Continuations in Language

- ▶ Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Entity} \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow (\text{Entity} \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow \text{Bool} = \\ & (\text{Entity} \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow \text{Comp Entity Bool} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Determiner interpretations are monadic functions!

```
cpsBind :: Comp a r -> (a -> Comp b r) -> Comp b r
cpsBind x y = \ k -> x (\ a -> (y a) k)
```

- ▶ Let $(\text{Comp } _ \text{ r})$ be a monad
 - ▶ $\text{cpsBind} = (>>=)$

Continuations in Language

- ▶ Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\text{Entity} \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow (\text{Entity} \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow \text{Bool} = \\ & (\text{Entity} \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow \text{Comp Entity Bool} \end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Determiner interpretations are monadic functions!

```
cpsBind :: Comp a r -> (a -> Comp b r) -> Comp b r
cpsBind x y = \ k -> x (\ a -> (y a) k)
```

- ▶ Let $(\text{Comp } _ r)$ be a monad

- ▶ $\text{cpsBind} = (>>=)$

```
intNP_CPS (NP1 det cn)
  = cpsBind (intCN_CPS cn) (intDET det)
```

Continuations in Language

```
compSent s = intSent_CPS s id
```

- ▶ “We interpret sentences using the function `intSent_CPS`.
 - ▶ The result of that function is a sentence computation, i.e. a function of type $(\text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}) \rightarrow \text{Bool}$, that takes a sentence continuation (representing the linguistic context of the sentence) and returns a result value of type `Bool`.
 - ▶ An example of a possible sentence continuation is negation: if we had a negated sentence, we could apply the computation of the unnegated sentence to the negation function `neg`.
 - ▶ But here we do not want to bother about the linguistic context of sentences and instead want the sentence computation to return a result value of type `Bool`.
 - ▶ Therefore we apply the sentence computation to the trivial continuation, the identity function `id`.”